# Characterization of planetesimal belts through the study of debris disks

Amaya Moro-Martín (Centro de Astrobiología) Why debris dust

Subaru's SEEDS

Herschel's DEBRIS Exchange of debris

## Debris disks host planetary systems

Protoplanetary disks dissipate in about 6 Myr, but there is evidence of dust around 7-15% of mature stars (10-10,000 Myr) of a wide range of stellar masses (0.5-3 M<sub>sun</sub>).

## Dust lifetime < 0.01-1 Ma



10<sup>4</sup> yrs; 10-10<sup>4</sup> Al 105-6 yrs; 1-1000AU 105-6 yrs; 1-1000AU

Uranus

Neptune

pared by the Minor Planet Center (2008 Apr.10)

Kuiper Belt

Lessons from Spitzer Subaru's SEEDS Herschel's DEBRIS Exchange of debris

et Center (2008 Apr.10

Asteroid Belt

## Sources of Solar System Dust

**Minor Planet Center** 





Subaru's SEEDS

Herschel's DEBRIS Exchange of debris

## Solar System debris disk

extra-solar debris disk

β-Pictoris (Schultz, HST) extra-solar debris disk

Debris disks...

• are indirect evidence of planetesimal formation.

In the planetesimals (location, composition...).

β-Pictoris (Schultz, HST)







Subaru's SEEDS +

Herschel's DEBRIS Exchange of debris

## Cold dust is more common: the SEDs indicate the presence of large inner gaps (KB-like)



Massive planets may be responsible for the formation of the inner gaps in disks

lines: disk w/o planets (no gap) --- 135  $\mu$ m 0.7  $\mu$ m

grey scale: disk with planets at 1-30 AU (with gap) and dust grain with a power-law distribution.



### Need of spatially resolved observations....



Subaru's SEEDS



(Carpenter et al. 2009)

...and massive planets may be responsible for the formation of some of these inner gaps.





(Carpenter et al. 2009)

...and massive planets may be responsible for

the formation of some of these inner gaps.

Search for giant planets in debris disks with large inner gaps (inferred from spatially resolved images or from SEDs).

Subaru program "SEEDS" (Strategic Exploration of Exoplanets and Disks with HiCIAO/AO188 (P.I. M. Tamura)

high resolution imaging

Iarge dynamic range

small inner working angle (0.08'')



(Carpenter et al. 2009)



the formation of some of these inner gaps.

Search for giant planets in debris disks with large inner gaps (inferred from spatially resolved images or from SEDs).

Subaru program "SEEDS" (Strategic Exploration of Exoplanets and Disks with HiCIAO/AO188 (P.I. M. Tamura)





(Carpenter et al. 2009)

### **SEEDS-DD** targets:

- approx. 80 debris disks studied by Spitzer.

- mostly systems with inferred large inner gaps.

- few systems with warm dust (uncommon).

...and massive planets may be responsible for

the formation of some of these inner gaps.

Search for giant planets in debris disks with large inner gaps (inferred from spatially resolved images or from SEDs).

Subaru program "SEEDS" (Strategic Exploration of Exoplanets and Disks with HiCIAO/AO188 (P.I. M. Tamura)



On-going survey. 27 targets observed up to date. No new planetary companions found (...so far)



Subaru's SEEDS

 $AO188 \rightarrow SCExAO$ 

On-going survey.

27 targets observed up to date.

No new planetary companions found (...so far)



### Search for warm dust at small inner working angles:

Non-detections are important because cold disks without warm dust are good candidates for the search of terrestrial planets because...

- Low zodi (contaminant) light.

- The presence of cold dust seems to be correlated with the presence of terrestrial planets (because the former implies the system has undergone mild dynamical evolution with moderate gravitational instabilities).



(Raymond et al. 2011)

## Herschel-DEBRIS Key Program (P.I. Brenda Mathews)

## Survey at 100 and 160 µm to search and characterize debris disks around 446 nearby stars.

- Targets from the Unbiased Nearby Stars sample in Phillips et al. (2010)
- ~90 stars of each type: A, F, G, K, M
- Sp.Type samples volume-limited w.
   confusion cut.
   A F G K M
   Volume limits (pc): 46, 24, 21, 16, 8.6



- Follow-up of 110 stars @250,350,500 μm
- Flux-limited, uniform depth, driven by 100  $\mu$ m sensitivity (1 $\sigma$  rms = 1.5 mJy).





## Herschel-DEBRIS Key Program Preliminary Results

Herschel is identifying new debris disks. Increasing the debris disk frequencies around cold late-type stars. Most of the other debris disks had been detected previously by Spitzer.



## Herschel-DEBRIS Key Program **Preliminary Results**

• New type of debris disks found: very cold disks, with excesses > 160 um,  $T_{dust} < 30$  K, very faint, more common around late-type stars (difficult to account for the lack of warm dust).





Why debris dust

Subaru's SEEDS

Herschel's DEBRIS

## Herschel-DEBRIS Key Program

## **Preliminary Results**

- Increasing the number of
- spatially resolved disks; some very
- compact (e.g. a 40 AU disk,

## smaller than the solar system

debris disk).



•  $R_{disk}$  (resolved)/ $R_{bb}$  (sed) ~ 1-4

implies a range of composition and/or grain sizes.



Subaru's SEEDS

#### **Herschel's DEBRIS**

## See Mark Booth's poster



Using the DEBRIS Survey to Constrain Disc Properties M. Booth<sup>1,2</sup> and the DEBRIS Team **DEBRI** <sup>1</sup>Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, University of Victoria, Elliott Building, 3800 Finnerty Rd, Victoria, BC, V8P 5C2 Canada <sup>2</sup>Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics, National Research Council of Canada, 5071 West Saanich Road, Victoria

BC, V9E 2E7 Canada E-mail: markbooth@cantab.net

#### 1. DEBRIS Survey

ŝ

DEBRIS (Disc Emission via a Bias-free Reconnaissance in the Infrared/Sub-millimetre) is an open time key project (PI: Brenda Matthews) on the Herschel Space Observatory. The aim of he project is to conduct an unbiased, flux limited survey of the nearest stars for debris discs (Phillips et al. 2010). It is in the process of observing 446 systems (of spectral types A, F, G, K and M) at wavelengths of 100 and 160  $\mu$ m with the PACS instrument and many of these sysems will be followed up with SPIRE at 250, 350 and 500 um (Matthews et al. 2010). Many of targets are also shared with (and some observed by) the DUNES team (Eiroa et al. 2010)



#### Increasing the number of $\mathbf{e}$ spatially resolved disks; some very

compact (e.g. a 40 AU disk,

## smaller than the solar system

debris disk).



#### 2. SED Modelling



from IRAS, MIPS and PACS for one of the stars in our

sample. In the above plot a black-body curve has been fit to the two PACS fluxes. This fit gives a radius of 10.8 AU. This fit clearly gives a flux far too high for

T = 54.4 K  $\sigma_{\rm tot} = 0.844 \, {\rm AU}$  $\lambda_0 = 9.14 \,\mu m$  $\beta = 1.45$ = 1.05 B 0.010 0.001 Wavelength, µm

points. The black-body is modified by a factor  $(\lambda_0/\lambda)^{\beta}$ . For this star the  $\lambda_0$  value is unusually low (values around 210 µm are more common e.g. Wyatt 2008) however, without any sub-mm data this parameter is poorly constrained by the fitting process

#### R = 100 AU $\sigma_{tot} = 0.275 \text{ AU}^2$ $\gamma^2 = 4.59$ e 0.010 Ę. 0.001 100 Wavelength, µm In this plot realistic grain properties have been used.

We use optical properties from the Li and Greenberg (1997) core mantle model. In this case amorphous silicate grains have been used with a silicate to or-ganics ratio of 1:2. A Dohnanyi (1969) size distribution has been used with a minimum grain size equal to the blowout grain size (18  $\mu m).$ 

Here a modified black-body is used to fit to all the

#### 3. Resolved Modelling

the 24 and 25 µm wavelengths



The superior resolving power of Herschel has allowed us to view debris discs like never before. Over 20 discs from the DEBRIS survey have now been resolved with Herschel, some at more than one wavelength. One of hese discs is shown to the left (a). Subtracting a PSF scaled to the total flux leaves us with some clear residuals as shown in (b) where the contours highlight 2 and 3- $\sigma$  residuals. The PSF is clearly oversubtracting at the centre and leaving evidence for a ring.

Resolved modelling of these discs provides us with a secondary method of iferring the radius. One method of fitting the disc parameters is by creating models of the spatial distribution of the dust and using realistic optical properties. A range of models can then be created and a best fit found as has been done with  $\beta$  Leo (Churcher et al. 2011). This method is used in (c) which shows a ring of 71 AU and 43°. This has then be combined with the stellar flux and convolved with the PSF (d). Subtracting this from the image eaves us with much cleaner residuals than just fitting a PSF (e).

A plot of  $R_{res}$  vs.  $R_{bb}$  is shown to the right. This shows a preli eight of our resolved systems, which we have attempted to fit with narrow rings. It can be seen that there is a wide dispersion in the results with some stars showing a resolved radii more than 3 times larger than that given by a black-body fit, but no obvious correlation that applies to all stars. This implies that dust properties vary between systems





#### References

Backman, D. E., & Paresce, F. 1993, Protostars and Planets III, 1253 Churcher, L. J., et al. 2011, arXiv:1107.0316 Dohnanyi, J. S. 1969, JGR, 74, 2531 Eiroa, C., et al. 2010, A&A 518, L131 Li, A. & Greenberg, J. M. 1997, A&A, 323, 566 Matthews, B. C., et al. 2010, A&A, 518, L135 Phillips, N. M., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1089 Wvatt, M. C. 2008, ARA&A, 46, 339



This research is funded through a Space Science Enhancement rogram grant from the Canadian Space Agency.

## Herschel-DEBRIS Key Program Preliminary Results

## Characterization of the first debris disks around mature M-type stars.

Identification of new debris disks around planet-host stars (including multiple-planet systems; characterization of planetary systems: planets + planetesimals).

Approaching KB dust disk flux level for nearest targets.

## Exchange of debris between planetary systems

## Exchange of debris between planetary systems

- Debris disk frequency indicate that planetesimal formation is common.
- ~20% of stars harbor giant planets < 20 AU.
- Giant planets eject planetesimals efficiently.

## The interstellar medium must be filled with planetesimals

Is the exchange of solid material

possible between planetary systems?

Exchange of debris

## Exchange of debris between planetary systems

There is a minimum energy transfer mechanism that allows and maximizes the capture of debris by other planetary systems (quasi-parabolic orbits).



Subaru's SEEDS Herschel's DEBRIS

**Exchange of debris** 

## Exchange of debris between planetary systems

There is a minimum energy transfer mechanism that allows and maximizes the capture of debris by other planetary systems (quasi-parabolic orbits).



(Belbruno, Moro-Martín, Malhotra, Savransky, submitted)

## Exchange of debris between planetary systems

Properties of solar birth cluster (Adams 2010)

- -N = 4300 (N = 1000 10000)
- $M_{total} = \langle m_{star} \rangle N \sim 0.88 N = 3784 M_{sun}$  (IMF; ignoring the gas).
- Cluster size: R ~1pc (N/300)<sup>0.5</sup>
- Average distance between stars: D =  $n^{-1/3}$  = 19 pc<sup>-3</sup>  $\rightarrow$  D = 0.375 pc
- Cluster lifetime:  $t = 2.3Myr M^{0.6} = 322.5 Myr$

M* source (Msun)	M* target (Msun)	Capture probab.
1.0	1.0	0.15%
1.0	0.5	0.05%
0.5	1.0	0.12%

Using this mechanism, a large number of debris could have been transferred to the nearest planetary system (of the order of 10<sup>14</sup>-10<sup>17</sup> with masses > 10 kg).

(Belbruno, Moro-Martín, Malhotra, Savransky, submitted)





